

**EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE COUNCIL**

**September 18, 2017 – 2:30 PM**

**Ada County Courthouse**

**200 W. Front Street**

**3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Commissioner's Meeting Room**

**\*\*AGENDA\*\***

**I. AGENDA ADDITIONS / CHANGES (2:30)**

**II. OPEN DISCUSSION / ANNOUNCEMENTS (2:35)**

**III. ACTION ITEMS (2:40)**

**\* A. Approve June 5, 2017 Minutes** **Jim Tibbs, Chair**  
*June 15, 2017 Executive Council Minutes attached.*

**\* B. Local Emergency Planning Committee Grant Request** **Doug Hardman**  
*New LEPC grant project requests will be presented to the Executive Council for consideration and approval. Representatives from the Ada County Sheriff's Office and American Red Cross will be present to provide more detail and answer questions. Grant Project Applications attached.*

**IV. Information / Discussion Items (3:10)**

**A. Boise River Flood Update** **Doug Hardman**  
*Doug will provide an overview of last spring's flood statistics, response and recovery activities and status of state and federal flood declarations.*

**B. Boise River Flood Response - EOC After Action Review** **Joe Lombardo**  
*Joe Lombardo will provide preliminary findings and next steps from the after action report for the Spring 2017 Boise River flood and Emergency Operation Center activation.*

**VI. ADJOURNMENT (4:00)**

\* Attachment

**ACCEM EXECUTIVE COUNCIL MEETING  
ADA COUNTY COURTHOUSE  
200 WEST FRONT STREET  
3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR, COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM**

**JUNE 5, 2017**

**\*\*SUMMARY MINUTES\*\***

- MEMBERS ATTENDING:** Jim Tibbs, Commissioner, Ada County, **Chair**  
Joe Stear, Mayor, City of Kuna  
Kent Goldthorpe, Commissioner, Ada County Highway  
District  
John Evans, Mayor, City of Garden City  
Keith Bird, Councilman, City of Meridian  
Stan Ridgeway, Mayor, City of Eagle, Vice Chair  
(phone)
- MEMBERS ABSENT:** Maryanne Jordan, Councilperson, City of Boise  
Dave Case, Commissioner, Ada County  
Dave Bieter, Mayor, City of Boise
- OTHERS ATTENDING:** Doug Hardman, Ada County Emergency Management  
Ray Chacko, Ada County PA Office

**CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Tibbs called the meeting to order at 2:30 PM

**I. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES**

None

**II. OPEN DISCUSSION/ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None

**III. ACTION ITEMS**

**A. Approve March 20 and May 9, 2017 Minutes**

Joe Stear moved to approve the March 20 and May 9, 2017 Minutes of the Emergency Management Executive Council meeting. ACHD Commissioner Goldthorpe seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

**B. Adopt FY 2018 Membership Dues & Preliminary Budget**

Doug gave an overview of the population estimates, draft membership dues, and preliminary FY 2018 Emergency Management budget for Council input and adoption. Doug explained there would be a slight budget increase for a couple cities to population growth, but no increase to membership dues of 33 cents cost per individual. Joe Stear moved to adopt FY 2018 Emergency Management budget and membership dues as presented. ACHD Commissioner Goldthorpe seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

**IV. Information / Discussion Items**

**A. Boise River/Reservoirs Update**

Doug gave an update on mountain snowpack, reservoir levels and projected inflows and outflows heading into June.

**B. Homeland Security Grant Update**

Doug provided an overview of the 2015 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) grant closeout and current expenditures approved for 2016 SHSP.

**V. ADJOURNMENT 3:15**

.

### Ada County Grant Project Application

Applicant/Jurisdiction	City or County Agency, Department or Other Organization American Red Cross		
Point of Contact	Name:	Ann Callanan	Title: Development Officer
	Phone:	208-855-4933	Email: ann.callanan@redcross.org
Project	Project Name:	New Emergency Response Vehicle	
	Requested Grant Funds	\$ 150,000.00	
	Applicant Cost Share	\$ 0.00	
	Total Project Cost	\$ 150,000.00	
Threat / Hazard / Vulnerability	Use info from Threat Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA), Hazard Mitigation Plan, or Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) to describe what this capability addresses.		
	All Hazards		
	If "Other," please explain below.		
Mission Area	Primary:	Response	
	Secondary:	Recovery	
Core Capability	Primary Capability Name (click for definitions):	Mass Care Services	
	Secondary Capability Name (click for definitions):	Operational Coordination	
Regional Impact	Is Capability regionally deployable?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Does Capability have regional impact?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Does this Capability already exist within the region?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> Partially <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Core Capability Target	Insert capability target as determined by THIRA process (e.g. "During the first 72 hours of an incident, conduct operations to recover 375 fatalities.").		
	Respond to an incident in 2 hours minimum and 4 hours maximum for Mass Care Services. Life sustaining services focused on hydration and feeding for 60,000 people are initiated during the first 72 hours of an incident. Shelter operations and counseling for 30,000 displaced people, including approximately 12-18% who have some degree of access and functional needs, are initiated during the first 72 hours of an incident. ALSO SEE ATTACHED		
Preparedness	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning	Building new capability? <input type="checkbox"/>	or Sustaining current capability? <input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Organization	Building new capability? <input type="checkbox"/>	or Sustaining current capability? <input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Training	Building new capability? <input type="checkbox"/>	or Sustaining current capability? <input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exercising	Building new capability? <input type="checkbox"/>	or Sustaining current capability? <input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Equipment	Building new capability? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	or Sustaining current capability? <input type="checkbox"/>
	For Equipment - AEL Number(s): 12VE-00-SPEC, Vehicle, Specialized Emergency Management		
MOU Information	NIMS Resource Type (if applicable):		
	Relevant MOU in place?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Justification	If "No," then by what date?	Working under Congressional Charter	
	Provide narrative describing need for capability (i.e. how will this project reduce risk in your jurisdiction?). SEE ATTACHED		

Print

Save

Send

Ann Callanan

Applicant Signature  
(electronic signature is acceptable)

07/06/2017

Date

## Core Capability Target

We will respond to an incident in 2 hours minimum and 4 hours maximum for Mass Care Services - providing life-sustaining and human services to the affected population, to include hydration, feeding, sheltering, temporary housing, evacuee support, reunification, and distribution of emergency supplies. The next generation Emergency Response Vehicle (ERV) will now allow for the following:

\*Casework Capability – previous ERV had no Wi-Fi. Next Generation ERV has Wi-fi which allows volunteers to complete casework and activate Client Assistance Cards at the scene of the disaster.

\*Flexibility of Use – The new modular design allows the vehicle to be quickly transformed so that volunteers can either serve thousands of meals a day during a flood response or meet one-on-one with a family displaced by a home fire. Our current model was designed for large-scale disasters only. It is not easily maneuvered through neighborhood streets.

\*Provides secure location for clients impacted by disaster – Current ERV has no client seating inside the vehicle. The new model includes seating inside the vehicle where volunteers can conduct casework with clients in a safe and more secure environment that is out of the elements.

\*Feeding Capability – The new model has two feeding windows where our current model has only one.

\*Storage Capacity – unlike our current ERV the new model allows for feeding and additional palletized relief items.

\*Trailer Hauling Capability – The new model ERV is now equipped with trailer hauling capability that will allow us to bring more equipment and supplies if needed for hydration, feeding, sheltering and emergency supplies.

To help ensure timely communications for Operational Communications Capability the next generation ERV's core features include two types of WiFi hotspots (to provide Internet access in a disaster area) and a “messaging” system (an LCD monitor, connected to a PC, on the vehicle’s driver’s side) that allows the Red Cross to display emergency and community outreach information.

## Justification

From July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017 the American Red Cross of Greater Idaho responded to a variety of incidents. These incidents occurred across all economic, age, gender and racial lines.

Responder Miles Driven - 29,670

Fires – 235 cases, serving 706 individuals

Flood – 25 cases, serving 74 individuals

Building Collapse – 4 cases, serving 12 individuals

Explosion – 2 cases serving 2 individuals

Our current Emergency Response Vehicle ERV is a 15 year old, 2002 Ford Ambulance body vehicle. It was designed for feeding large groups of people during disasters and the large-scale distribution of supplies. Although this was the vehicle's original design, the current vehicle is used most frequently to respond to smaller scale, regional disasters, such as house fires and flooding, and use the vehicle for community engagement and education. The cost of maintenance and repair has become cost prohibitive. The Red Cross' ultimate goal for the next-generation (ERV) is to make it safer and more effective for volunteers and the communities we serve.

To make the next-generation ERV adaptable, the Red Cross decided to engage in a design process to ensure the vehicle is effective not only in the near future but in the years to come. The next generation ERV, is intentionally designed it to be modular so it can fulfill a number of purposes and seamlessly transition from the one operation to the next. This is achieved through a more intentional use of space and interior design.

The Red Cross selected a new commercial van platform for the ERV and chose the Sprinter. The next-generation ERV features a range of improvements. Starting with cargo, the Red Cross found a new way to secure food, which is stored in 70-pound containers, and other items used during relief efforts and community outreach.

Our average volunteer ERV driver is a woman over the age of 50. One concern for us was the loading and unloading of the food containers. The food storage container racks have a four-by-two configuration, which means volunteers can put up to four containers on the shelves or underneath them, wherever a volunteer can best fit them. Also, the vehicle has a unique loading system mounted on the rear door. The food container shelves also allow volunteers to slide, rather than lift, the containers, making them safer and more ergonomic for volunteers to load and unload. For serving the food, the seats volunteers use can rotate, thereby reducing potential back strain.

Another key feature the ERV Sprinter provides is enough interior room for Red Cross volunteers in disaster response scenarios to meet with individuals, giving them privacy during difficult emotional situations.

The vehicle has many safety systems designed to help drivers avoid collisions, for example in a disaster area. They include a backup camera, back-up alarm systems, and various sensors. Other core features include two types of WiFi hotspots (to provide Internet access in a disaster area) and a “messaging” system (an LCD monitor, connected to a PC, on the vehicle’s driver’s side) that allows the Red Cross to display emergency and community outreach information

The purchase of a new ERV will allow us a member of the whole community to reach more people in all kinds of conditions in our community.

## Ada County Grant Project Application

Applicant/Jurisdiction	City or County Agency, Department or Other Organization		
Point of Contact	Name:		Title:
	Phone:		Email:
Project	Project Name:		
	Requested Grant Funds	\$	
	Applicant Cost Share	\$	
	Total Project Cost	\$	
Threat / Hazard / Vulnerability	Use info from Threat Hazard Identification Risk Assessment (THIRA), Hazard Mitigation Plan, or Hazard Vulnerability Analysis (HVA) to describe what this capability addresses.		
	If "Other," please explain below.		
Mission Area	Primary:		
	Secondary:		
Core Capability	<a href="#">Primary Capability Name (click for definitions):</a>		
	<a href="#">Secondary Capability Name (click for definitions):</a>		
Regional Impact	Is Capability regionally deployable?	Yes	No
	Does Capability have regional impact?	Yes	No
	Does this Capability already exist within the region?	Yes	No
Core Capability Target	Insert capability target as determined by THIRA process (e.g. "During the first 72 hours of an incident, conduct operations to recover 375 fatalities.").		
Preparedness	Planning	Building new capability?	or Sustaining current capability?
	Organization	Building new capability?	or Sustaining current capability?
	Training	Building new capability?	or Sustaining current capability?
	Exercising	Building new capability?	or Sustaining current capability?
	Equipment	Building new capability?	or Sustaining current capability?
	<a href="#">For Equipment - AEL Number(s):</a>		
<a href="#">NIMS Resource Type (if applicable):</a>			
MOU Information	Relevant MOU in place?	Yes	No
	If "No," then by what date?		
Justification	Provide narrative describing need for capability (i.e. how will this project reduce risk in your jurisdiction?).		

\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant Signature  
(electronic signature is acceptable)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date