

11. EAGLE SEWER DISTRICT

11.1 MULTI-HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN POINT OF CONTACT

Primary Point of Contact

Lynn Moser, General Manager
44 N Palmetto Ave
Eagle, ID 83616-5149
Telephone: (208) 939-0132
e-mail Address: Lmoser@eaglesewer.com

Alternate Point of Contact

R.J. Lake, Operations Manager
44 N Palmetto Ave
Eagle, ID 83616-5149
Telephone: (208) 939-0781
e-mail Address: rjleagle@yahoo.com

11.2 JURISDICTION PROFILE

11.2.1 Overview

The Eagle Sewer District (District) receives its operating authority from Idaho State Code, Title 42, Chapter 32, Sections 43-3201 to 42-3238. The District was created on December 30, 1963 in response to a need for central sewer service and currently provides service for an area that generally coincides with the City of Eagle's impact area. A five-member elected Board of Directors governs the District. The District's current service area is bounded by Highway 16 on the West, Homer Road on the North, Highway 26 on the South and Highway 55 and Old Horseshoe Bend Road on the East. This service area essentially mirrors the City of Eagle's impact area.

Eagle Sewer District currently treats wastewater in lagoons and then pumps the treated effluent to the City of Boise's West Boise Wastewater Treatment Facility for further treatment and discharge to the Boise River. For this treatment, the Eagle Sewer District now purchases capacity in the West Boise Wastewater Treatment Facility and pays monthly charges that are based on the amount of flow, organic load, solids load and ammonia load.

Sewer lift stations serve as a central point of collection for gravity sewer lines. The raw sewage is conveyed by gravity to these collection points and the lift stations pressurize and lift the sewage either into other gravity collection lines or push the flow directly to the wastewater treatment plant. The District currently owns seven lift stations located on Conover Street, Mace Road, Old Valley Road, North Meridian Road, Lakemoor Subdivision, Legacy Subdivision and Palmer Lane.

The Eagle Sewer District operates almost exclusively on user fees. A small amount is also levied on property taxes to pay for the District's operation and maintenance costs and the property and administrative liability insurance.

11.2.2 Service Area and Trends

The district serves a population of 18,500 as of 2015. Its service area covers an area of 35 square miles, which has a total market value (including occupancy rolls) of \$5,857,755,422.

Population trends used to estimate future population of the Eagle Sewer District service area can be approximated by utilizing existing population studies completed for the City of Eagle. From 1990 to 2007, the City of Eagle experienced a six-fold increase in population, but from 2008 to 2013 the local residential housing market experienced a significant downturn. In recent years, the housing market has increased significantly and the District has noted an increase in the number of new customers. For example, in fiscal year 2014, the Eagle Sewer District issued 460 new sewer connections, in 2015, that number jumped to 529. Through May 20, 2016 the District has issued 250 new sewer connections.

The Community Planning Association of South West Idaho (COMPASS) has projected the population of Eagle to increase by approximately 10,700 people by 2025 (2.9 percent increase) while the City of Eagle’s own Comprehensive Plan predicts a much larger population increase and anticipates an additional 25,000 people living in Eagle by 2025 (5.5 percent increase). If the City of Eagle Comprehensive Plan growth percentage is used, the estimated population served by the Eagle Sewer District will be approximately 45,000 by 2025.

11.2.3 Assets

Table 11-1 summarizes the critical assets of the district and their value.

| Asset | Value |
|---|---------------------|
| Property | |
| 103.25 acres of land | \$7,744,000 |
| Critical Infrastructure and Equipment | |
| Effluent Transmission Pipeline | \$2,101,000 |
| Approximately 156 miles of pipe throughout District | \$82,368,000 |
| Operations & Maintenance Vehicles | \$670,600 |
| Total: | \$85,141,600 |
| Critical Facilities | |
| District Office | \$500,000 |
| Wastewater Treatment Facility | \$13,246,200 |
| Operations Facility | \$252,000 |
| Mace Road Lift Station | \$1,500,000 |
| East Side Lift Station | \$243,700 |
| Lakemoor Lift Station | \$681,800 |
| Old Valley Lift Station | \$383,500 |
| Legacy Lift Station | \$450,000 |
| Palmer Lane Lift Station | \$4,549,500 |
| North Meridian Lift Station | \$350,000 |
| Total: | \$22,156,700 |

11.3 PLANNING AND REGULATORY CAPABILITIES

The following existing codes, ordinances, policies or plans are applicable to this Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan:

- Clean Water Act
- Endangered Species Act
- Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

- Idaho Administrative Code
- Idaho Administrative Procedure Act
- Wastewater Treatment and Facilities Plan (2016)
- Idaho Statewide Implementation Plan
- All other applicable laws, ordinances, codes and policies enforced by federal, state and local authorities with a sphere of influence over the District’s service area.

11.4 FISCAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES

An assessment of fiscal capabilities is presented in Table 11-2. An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities is presented in Table 11-3.

Table 11-2. Fiscal Capability

| Financial Resources | Accessible or Eligible to Use? |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Capital Improvements Project Funding | Yes |
| Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes | Yes |
| User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas or Electric Service | Yes |
| Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds | Yes |
| Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds | No |
| Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds | No |
| State-Sponsored Grant Programs | Yes |
| Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers | Yes |
| Other: LID, CID | Yes |

Table 11-3. Administrative and Technical Capability

| Staff/Personnel Resources | Available? | Department/Agency/Position |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Staff with training in benefit/cost analysis | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Surveyors | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Scientist familiar with natural hazards in local area | Yes | Contract engineer |
| Emergency manager | Yes | Ada County Emergency Management (ACEM) |
| Grant writers | Yes | Ability to contract for service |

11.5 EDUCATION AND OUTREACH CAPABILITIES

An assessment of education and outreach capabilities is presented in Table 11-4.

Table 11-4. Education and Outreach

| Criteria | Response |
|---|--|
| Do you have a Public Information Officer or Communications Office? | No |
| Do you have personnel skilled or trained in website development? | No |
| Do you have hazard mitigation information available on your website? • If yes, please briefly describe. | No |
| Do you utilize social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach? • If yes, please briefly describe. | No |
| Do you have any citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation? • If yes, please briefly specify. | No |
| Do you have any other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information? • If yes, please briefly describe. | No |
| Do you have any established warning systems for hazard events? • If yes, please briefly describe. | Yes Code Red/ISAWS – residents may sign up to receive emergency notifications and critical community alerts. Both systems are IPAWS enabled and may additionally access that integrated system for public warnings. |

11.6 INTEGRATION WITH OTHER PLANNING INITIATIVES

The following describe the jurisdiction’s process for integrating the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan into existing plans and programs.

11.6.1 Existing Integration

The following plans and programs currently integrate the goals, risk assessment and/or recommendations of the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan:

- City of Eagle Comprehensive Plan—The 2015 Eagle Comprehensive Plan includes mitigation related policies as they relate to the protection of human life and property from flood events.
- Ada County Wildfire Response Plan—The Wildfire Response Plan for Ada County includes procedures that will mitigate risk to human life and property from a wildfire.

11.6.2 Opportunities for Future Integration

The following plans and programs do not currently integrate the goals, risk assessment and/or recommendations of the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan, but provide an opportunity for future integration:

- Eagle City, Eagle Sewer District, and Eagle Fire District Joint Emergency Operation Plan (EOP)—This joint plan has not been developed, but the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan will be significantly affected when an EOP is developed.
- Eagle Sewer District Continuity of Operation Plan (COOP)—This plan has not been developed, but the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan will be significantly affected when a COOP is developed.

11.7 JURISDICTION-SPECIFIC NATURAL HAZARD EVENT HISTORY

Table 11-5 lists all past occurrences of natural hazards within the jurisdiction. No notable damage to District facilities has resulted from natural hazards.

Table 11-5. Natural Hazard Events

| Type of Event | FEMA Disaster # (if applicable) | Date | Preliminary Damage Assessment |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Wildfire (foothills) | n/a | 7/28/2010 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 6/2-4/1998 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 5/15-28/1998 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 9/11/1997 | - |
| Flooding | DR-1154 | 1/11/1997 | - |
| Severe Weather | n/a | 12/1/1994 | - |
| Flash Flooding | n/a | 6/25/1992 | - |
| Drought | n/a | 3/1/1992 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 1/12/1991 | - |
| Severe Weather | n/a | 2/4/1989 | - |
| Severe Weather | n/a | 12/19/1988 | - |
| Drought | n/a | 10/31/1988 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 2/1986 | - |
| Flooding | n/a | 6/10/1983 | - |

11.8 JURISDICTION-SPECIFIC VULNERABILITIES

Noted vulnerabilities to the jurisdiction include:

- Areas of WWTP access road below 100-year and 500-year flood elevations susceptible to possible flooding
- Lagoon berm integrity may be compromised in the event of a flood
- Some buildings at the WWTP may be susceptible to flooding
- Access to Mace Lift Station and Old Valley Lift Station may be limited in the event of a flood

11.9 HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 11-6 presents the ranking of the hazards of concern.

Table 11-6. Hazard Risk Ranking

| Rank | Hazard Type | Risk Rating Score (Probability x Impact) | Category |
|------|----------------|--|----------|
| 1 | Flood | 45 | High |
| 2 | Severe Weather | 33 | High |
| 3 | Earthquake | 32 | High |
| 4 | Dam Inundation | 18 | Medium |
| 5 | Landslide | 12 | Low |
| 6 | Drought | 9 | Low |
| 7 | Wildfire | 6 | Low |
| 8 | Volcano | 6 | Low |

11.10 STATUS OF PREVIOUS PLAN INITIATIVES

Table 11-7 summarizes the initiatives that were recommended in the previous version of the hazard mitigation plan and their implementation status at the time this update was prepared.

Table 11-7. Status of Previous Action Plan

| Action Item | Completed | Carry Over to Plan Update | Removed; No Longer Feasible |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ESD-1—Mace Road Lift Station Assessment and Flood Protection | X | | |
| ESD-2—Lagoon Berm Evaluation and Stabilization | | X | |
| ESD-3—Headworks Facility Decommission | X | | |
| ESD-4—Raise Portions of the Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility Access Road | | X | |
| ESD-5—Control Building and Outbuilding Berm Option | | | X |
| ESD-6—Continue the implementation, monitoring, maintenance, and updating of this Plan | | X | |
| ESD-7—Support County-wide initiatives | | X | |

11.11 HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN AND EVALUATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Table 11-8 lists the actions that make up the Eagle Sewer District hazard mitigation action plan. Table 11-9 identifies the priority for each action. Table 11-10 summarizes the mitigation actions by hazard of concern and the six mitigation types.

Table 11-8. Hazard Mitigation Action Plan Matrix

| Applies to new or existing assets | Hazards Mitigated | Objectives Met | Lead Agency | Estimated Cost | Sources of Funding | Timeline |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---|------------|
| <p>ESD -1—Lagoon Berm Evaluation and Stabilization: High flow velocities during flooding events could potentially cause erosion at the toe of the lagoon berms and, although unlikely, possibly cause structural failure. Perform hydraulic modeling of the river channel and estimate potential for erosion of the lagoon berm. If deemed necessary, the placement of rip-rap and/or other measures would be pursued to reduce lagoon dike erosion.</p> | | | | | | |
| Existing | Flood, Severe Weather, Dam Failure | 1,3,10 | District | High | District Funds, HMGP, PDM, IDWR Flood Safe Initiative | Short-term |
| <p>ESD-2—Raise Portions of the Wastewater Treatment Plant, Mace Lift Station, and Old valley Lift Station access roads: Portions of the road leading to these facilities are below the 100-year and 500-year flood elevations. To ensure that District staff can access wastewater treatment and operation facilities during a flooding event, low sections of access roads should be raised.</p> | | | | | | |
| Existing | Flood, Severe Weather, Dam Failure | 1,10 | District | Low | District Funds, HMGP, PDM, IDWR Flood Safe Initiative | Short-term |
| <p>ESD-3—Control Building and Outbuilding Berm Option: To protect the Operations and several outbuilding at the wastewater treatment site against possible flooding, a small berm might be constructed around the perimeter of this area.</p> | | | | | | |
| New and Existing | Flood, Severe Weather, Dam Failure | All | District | Low | District Funds, FEMA Mitigation Grant Funding for 5-year update | Long-term |

| Applies to new or existing assets | Hazards Mitigated | Objectives Met | Lead Agency | Estimated Cost | Sources of Funding | Timeline |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---|------------|
| ESD-4 —Develop a Joint Emergency Operation Plan with Eagle City and Eagle Fire District: This plan is necessary to establish a single, comprehensive framework for the management of domestic incidents. The City of Eagle will lead this all-discipline action, but Eagle Sewer District will aid in planning for all hazards. | | | | | | |
| New and Existing | All Hazards | All | Eagle City | Medium | City Funds, District Funds, HMGP | Short-term |
| ESD-5 —Develop a Continuity of Operation Plan: This plan will provide specific policies and procedures that will be carried out in the event of an emergency, including localized acts of nature, accidents, and technological or attack-related emergencies. The plan will address how the District will continue to perform essential functions in the event of compromised facilities or leadership, and how the District will return to normal operations. | | | | | | |
| New and Existing | All Hazards | All | District | Medium | District Funds, HMGP | Short-term |
| ESD-6 —Support County-wide Initiatives Identified in Volume 1 of the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan | | | | | | |
| New and Existing | All Hazards | All | Ada County | Low | All county districts and municipalities, HMGP | Short-term |
| ESD-7 —Actively Participate in the Plan Maintenance Protocols Outlined in Volume 1 of the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Plan | | | | | | |
| New and Existing | All Hazards | All | Ada County | Low | All county districts and municipalities, HMGP | Short-term |

Table 11-9. Mitigation Strategy Priority Schedule

| Action # | # of Objectives Met | Benefits | Costs | Do Benefits Equal or Exceed Costs? | Is Project Grant-Eligible? | Can Project Be Funded Under Existing Programs/Budgets? | Implementation Priority ^a | Grant Priority ^a |
|----------|---------------------|----------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ESD-1 | 3 | High | Medium | Yes | Yes | No | Medium | High |
| ESD-2 | 2 | Medium | Medium | Yes | Yes | No | Medium | Medium |
| ESD-3 | 10 | High | High | Yes | Yes | No | Low | Medium |
| ESD-4 | 10 | High | Medium | Yes | Yes | No | Medium | High |
| ESD-5 | 10 | High | Medium | Yes | Yes | No | Medium | High |
| ESD-6 | 10 | Low | Low | Yes | No | Yes | High | Low |
| ESD-7 | 10 | Low | Low | Yes | No | Yes | High | Low |

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of priorities.

Table 11-10. Analysis of Mitigation Actions

| Hazard Type | Action Addressing Hazard, by Mitigation Type ^a | | | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | 1. Prevention | 2. Property Protection | 3. Public Education and Awareness | 4. Natural Resource Protection | 5. Emergency Services | 6. Structural Projects |
| Dam Failure | ESD-1, ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1 | ESD-4, ESD-5 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 |
| Drought | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5 | |
| Earthquake | | ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5 | |
| Flood | ESD-1, ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1 | ESD-4, ESD-5 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 |
| Landslide | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5 | |
| Severe weather | ESD-1, ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-1 | ESD-4, ESD-5 | ESD-1, ESD-2, ESD-3 |
| Volcano | | ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5 | |
| Wildfire | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-6, ESD-7 | ESD-4, ESD-5, ESD-6, ESD-7 | | ESD-4, ESD-5 | |

a. See the introduction to this volume for explanation of mitigation types.